PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To: KRANSELL & WENNBORG KB BOX 27834 115 93 STOCKHOLM Sverige	WRITTEWESNION OF THE PCT INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 1 7 -08- 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 04063PC-RF	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing date PCT/SE2005/000427 23.03.2005	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 30.03.2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classific $A01J\ 5/017$, $G06T\ 7/00$	ation and IPC		
Applicant DeLaval Holding AB et al	,		
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Patent- och registreringsverket	Authorized officer		
BOX 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Henrik Andersson / MRo		
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

Box No. I	Busis of this opinion
	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
Ciamica	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. forma	t of material on paper in electronic form
c. time	of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in electronic form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Addition	al comments:

International application No.

In response to the invitation (Form PCT/IPEA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid not paid additional fees. 2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. 3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not Complied with for the following reasons: 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5	Box 1	No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
paid additional fees under protest but the applicable, the protest fee poid additional fees This Authority found the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not Complied with for the following reasons: 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts	1.	\boxtimes	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/IPEA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
paid additional fees 2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees. 3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not Complied with for the following reasons:			paid additional fees
Not paid additional fees			paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
2.			paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
applicant to pay additional fees. 3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not Complied with for the following reasons: 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts			not paid additional fees
complied with not Complied with for the following reasons: 1. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts	2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
not Complied with for the following reasons: 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts	3.	This A	authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts			complied with
all parts			not Complied with for the following reasons:
all parts			
all parts			
all parts			
all parts	ļ		
all parts			. Cita international applications
·	4	. Con	¬
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5			
		\geq	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000427

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Statement				
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	\	ÆS
Moverty (IV)	Claims		1	10
Lorentine step (IS)	Claims	1-5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		1	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5		YES
Industrial applications ()	Claims			NO
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: DE3742867 A1
D2: WOO200011 A1
D3: DE19548347 A1
D4: EP1332667 A1
D5: US4805557 A

The cited documents represent the general state of the art. The invention defined in claims 1-5 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed method of determining positions of the teats of a milking animal. Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-5 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To: KRANSELL & WENNBORG KB Box 27834 115 93 STOCKHOLM Sverige	WRITT WESNION OF THE PCT INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 1 7 -08- 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 04063PC-RF	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing data PCT/SE2005/000427 23.03.2005	30.03.2004		
International Patent Classification (PC) or both national classifi A01J 5/017, G06T 7/00	cation and IPC		
Applicant DeLaval Holding AB et al			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent- och registreringsverket Box 5055	Authorized officer		
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Henrik Andersson / MRO		
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: ne international application in the language in which it was filed
	translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the urposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
claimed i	and to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the nvention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format	of material on paper in electronic form
c. time c	of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in electronic form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additiona	ul comments:
DCT/IS	/237 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/IPEA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
	paid additional fees
	paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
	paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
	not paid additional fees
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	complied with
	not Complied with for the following reasons:
	•
4. Co	nsequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	all parts
	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-5

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000427

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			·
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES
	HOYORY (14)	Claims		. NO
	(10)	Claims	1-5	YES
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES
				NO
		Cidinis		

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: DE3742867 A1
D2: WOO200011 A1
D3: DE19548347 A1
D4: EP1332667 A1
D5: US4805557 A

The cited documents represent the general state of the art. The invention defined in claims 1-5 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed method of determining positions of the teats of a milking animal. Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-5 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.